arXiv:1403.6403v2 [hep-ph] 31 Mar 2014

# Simple Inflationary Models in Light of BICEP2: an Update

**Nobuchika Okada,**[a] **Vedat Nefer Şenoğuz**[b] **and Qaisar Shafi**[c]

[a]Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA
[b]Department of Physics, Mimar Sinan Fine Arts University, 34380 Şişli, İstanbul, Turkey
[c]Bartol Research Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

**Abstract.** The BICEP2 (CMB polarimeter) experiment has recently announced the discovery of inflationary gravitational waves in the B-mode power spectrum, with the tensor to scalar ratio $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$. If confirmed, this provides a tremendous boost for a class of inflationary models in which the energy scale during inflation is on the order of $10^{16}$ GeV. Inspired by this discovery, we provide an update on five relatively well motivated inflationary models in which the inflaton is a Standard Model singlet scalar field. These include i) the textbook quadratic and quartic potential models but with additional couplings of the inflaton to fermions and bosons, which enable reheating and also modify the naive predictions for the scalar spectral index $n_s$ and $r$, ii) models with Higgs and Coleman-Weinberg potentials, and finally iii) a quartic potential model with non-minimal coupling of the inflaton to gravity. For $n_s$ values close to 0.96, as determined by the WMAP9 and Planck experiments, the all-important parameter $r$ in these models is nicely compatible with the BICEP2 measurements. The running of the scalar spectral index, quantified by $|\mathrm{d}n_s/\mathrm{d}\ln k|$, is predicted in these models to be of order $10^{-4}$–$10^{-3}$.

## Contents


**1 Introduction** 1

**2 Radiatively corrected quadratic and quartic potentials** 2

**3 Higgs potential** 4

**4 Coleman-Weinberg potential** 5

**5 Quartic potential with non-minimal gravitational coupling** 6

**6 Conclusion** 8


## 1 Introduction

The dramatic discovery of inflationary gravitational waves announced by the BICEP2 experiment [1], if verified by other ongoing experiments including Planck, would have far reaching implications both for inflationary cosmology and particle physics. The tensor to scalar ratio $r$, a canonical measure of gravity waves from inflation, is estimated by BICEP2 to be $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$. This is to be compared with the upper bound $r < 0.11$ ($< 0.12$) provided by the Planck [2] (WMAP9 [3]) experiment. Values of $r \sim 0.2$ strongly favor models of slow roll inflation in which the energy scale during observable inflation is on the order of $10^{16}$ GeV. This certainly is reminiscent of the grand unification scale, although field values during inflation exceeding the Planck scale are encountered in order to generate an $r$ value of 0.2 or so [4].

Motivated by the BICEP2 results, in this paper we briefly review and update the results of five closely related, well motivated and previously studied inflationary models in which the inflaton is prescribed to be a Standard Model (SM) singlet scalar field. The first two models employ the very well known quadratic ($\phi^2$) and quartic ($\phi^4$) potentials [5], supplemented in our case by additional couplings of the inflaton $\phi$ to fermions and/or scalars, so that reheating becomes possible. These new interactions have previously been shown [6–8] to significantly modify the predictions for the scalar spectral index $n_s$ and $r$ in the absence of these new interactions.

The next two models exploit respectively the Higgs potential [7–11] and Coleman-Weinberg potential [4, 10, 12, 13]. With the SM electroweak symmetry presumably broken by a Higgs potential, it seems natural to think that nature may have utilized the latter (or the closely related Coleman-Weinberg potential) to also implement inflation, albeit with a SM singlet scalar field.

Finally, we consider a class of models [14, 15] which invokes a quartic potential for the inflaton field, supplemented by an additional non-minimal coupling of the inflaton field to gravity [8, 16].

Our results show that the predictions for $n_s$ and $r$ from these five models are in good agreement with the BICEP2, Planck and WMAP9 measurements. We display the range of $r$ values allowed in these models that are consistent with $n_s$ being close to 0.96. Finally, we present the predictions for $|\mathrm{d}n_s/\mathrm{d}\ln k|$ which turn out to be of order $10^{-4}$–$10^{-3}$.

Before we discuss the models, let's recall the basic equations used to calculate the inflationary parameters. The slow-roll parameters may be defined as (see ref. [17] for a review and references):

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 , \quad \eta = \frac{V''}{V} , \quad \zeta^2 = \frac{V'V'''}{V^2} . \tag{1.1}$$

Here and below we use units $m_P = 1$, and primes denote derivatives with respect to the inflaton field $\phi$. The spectral index $n_s$, the tensor to scalar ratio $r$ and the running of the spectral index $\alpha \equiv \mathrm{d}n_s/\mathrm{d}\ln k$ are given in the slow-roll approximation by

$$n_s = 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta , \quad r = 16\epsilon , \quad \alpha = 16\epsilon\eta - 24\epsilon^2 - 2\zeta^2 . \tag{1.2}$$

The amplitude of the curvature perturbation $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}$ is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\pi}\frac{V^{3/2}}{|V'|} , \tag{1.3}$$

which should satisfy $\Delta^2_{\mathcal{R}} = 2.215 \times 10^{-9}$ from the Planck measurement [2] with the pivot scale chosen at $k_0 = 0.05$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

The number of e-folds is given by

$$N = \int_{\phi_e}^{\phi_0} \frac{V\mathrm{d}\phi}{V'} , \tag{1.4}$$

where $\phi_0$ is the inflaton value at horizon exit of the scale corresponding to $k_0$, and $\phi_e$ is the inflaton value at the end of inflation, where $\epsilon(\phi_e) \simeq 1$. The value of $N$ depends logarithmically on the energy scale during inflation as well as the reheating temperature, and is typically around 50–60.

## 2 Radiatively corrected quadratic and quartic potentials

Inflation driven by scalar potentials of the type

$$V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4 , \tag{2.1}$$

provide a simple realization of an inflationary scenario [5]. However, the inflaton field $\phi$ must have couplings to 'matter' fields which allow it to make the transition to hot big bang cosmology at the end of inflation. Such couplings induce correction terms to the potential which, for a Yukawa interaction and to leading order, take the Coleman-Weinberg form [18]

$$V_{\mathrm{loop}} \simeq -\kappa\phi^4 \ln\left(\frac{h\phi}{\mu}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\kappa = h^4/(16\pi^2)$ in the one loop approximation. The negative sign is a characteristic feature for the contributions from fermions. Since the interactions of the inflaton field with bosonic fields contribute with the opposite sign, we consider both positive and negative $\kappa$ values in our discussion. We will set the renormalization scale $\mu = hm_P$.[1]

[1]For the radiatively corrected quartic potential the observable inflationary parameters do not depend on the choice of the renormalization scale. However, this may not be the case for the radiatively corrected quadratic potential, as discussed in ref. [19].




**Figure 1**. Radiatively corrected $\phi^2$ potential: $n_s$ vs. $r$ (left panel) and $n_s$ vs. $\alpha$ (right panel) for various $\kappa$ values, along with the $n_s$ vs. $r$ contours (at the confidence levels of 68% and 95%) given by the BICEP2 collaboration (Planck+WP+highL+BICEP2). The black points and triangles are predictions in the textbook quartic and quadratic potential models, respectively. The dashed portions are for $\kappa < 0$. $N$ is taken as 50 (left curves) and 60 (right curves).

First, assume that $\lambda \ll m^2/\phi^2$ during inflation, so that inflation is primarily driven by the quadratic $\phi^2$ term. In the absence of radiative corrections, this quadratic case of the well-known monomial model [5] predicts

$$n_s = 1 - 2/N\,, \quad r = 8/N\,, \quad \alpha = -2/N^2\,. \tag{2.3}$$

As discussed in ref. [6], when $\kappa$ is positive there are two solutions for a given $\kappa$. The "$\phi^2$ solution" approaches the tree level result eq. (2.3) as $\kappa$ decreases, whereas inflation takes place close to the local maximum for the "hilltop solution", resulting in a strongly tilted red spectrum with suppressed $r$. As the value of $\kappa$ is increased, the two branches of solutions approach each other and they meet at $\kappa \simeq 7 \times 10^{-15}$ for $N = 60$. For negative $\kappa$ values the $\phi^4 \ln \phi$ correction term in the potential leads to predictions similar to those for the quartic potential given by

$$n_s = 1 - 3/N\,, \quad r = 16/N\,, \quad \alpha = -3/N^2\,. \tag{2.4}$$

For each case, we calculate the inflationary predictions scanning over various values of $\kappa$, while keeping the number of e-folds fixed. Figure 1 shows the predictions for $n_s$, $r$ and $\alpha$ with the number of e-folds $N = 50$ (left curves in each panel) and $N = 60$ (right curves in each panel), along with the BICEP2 results [1].[2]

Note that the one loop contribution to $\lambda$ is of order $(4!)\kappa$, which is $\sim m^2/\phi^2$ in the parameter range where the $\kappa$ term has a significant effect on inflationary observables. In this case our assumption $\lambda \ll m^2/\phi^2$ corresponds to the renormalized coupling being small compared to the one loop contribution. Alternatively, assume that $\lambda \gg m^2/\phi^2$ during inflation, so that inflation is primarily driven by the quartic term. The numerical results for this case is displayed in Figure 2. As before, there are two solutions for a given positive value of $\kappa$, and the predictions interpolate between a strongly tilted red spectrum with suppressed $r$

[2] As noted in ref. [1] (see also ref. [20]), there is some apparent tension between the BICEP2 and Planck results, and one way to relieve this tension is a running $n_s$ which was assumed in the Planck+WP+highL+BICEP2 likelihood contours. The models we consider do not feature large running, and if running is not allowed those likelihood contours will be somewhat different, with $r$ values shifting down about $1\sigma$ (see e.g. ref. [20]). More data and detailed analyses are needed to clarify what the apparent tension between BICEP2 and other results means.




**Figure 2**. Radiatively corrected $\phi^4$ potential: $n_s$ vs. $r$ (left panel) and $n_s$ vs. $\alpha$ (right panel) for various $\kappa$ values, along with the $n_s$ vs. $r$ contours (at the confidence levels of 68% and 95%) given by the BICEP2 collaboration (Planck+WP+highL+BICEP2). The black points and triangles are predictions in the textbook quartic and quadratic potential models, respectively. The dashed portions (extending just beyond the black points) are for $\kappa < 0$. $N$ is taken as 50 (left curves) and 60 (right curves).

to the tree level result given in eq. (2.4). For negative $\kappa$ values the potential during inflation interpolates between $\phi^4$ and $\phi^4 \ln \phi$ potentials, as a consequence the predictions remain close to eq. (2.4).

## 3 Higgs potential

In this section we consider an inflationary scenario with the potential of the form,

$$V = \lambda \left(\phi^2 - v^2\right)^2 , \tag{3.1}$$

where $\phi$ is the inflaton field, $\lambda$ is a real, positive coupling, and $v$ is the vacuum expectation value (VEV) at the minimum of the potential. This Higgs potential was first considered for inflation in ref. [21], and more recently in refs. [4, 8–10]. Radiative corrections to the Higgs potential were analyzed in refs. [7, 11]. Here, for simplicity, we have assumed that the inflaton is a real field, but it is straightforward to extend the model to the Higgs model, where the inflaton field is the Higgs field and a gauge symmetry is broken by the inflaton VEV.

In the inflationary scenario with the Higgs potential, we can consider two cases for the inflaton VEV during inflation. One is that the initial inflaton VEV is smaller than its VEV at the potential minimum ($\phi_0 < v$), and the other is the case with $\phi_0 > v$. For each case, we calculate the inflationary predictions for various values of the inflaton VEV keeping the number of e-folds fixed. Figure 3 shows the predictions for $n_s$, $r$ and $\alpha$ with the number of e-folds $N = 50$ (left curves in each panel) and $N = 60$ (right curves in each panel).

For the case with $\phi_0 < v$, if the inflaton VEV is large ($v \gg 1$ in Planck units) the inflation potential is dominated by the VEV term and well approximated as the quadratic potential,

$$V \simeq \left(4\lambda v^2\right) \chi^2 , \tag{3.2}$$

where $\chi = \phi - v$ plays the role of inflaton. Thus the predictions approach the values given by eq. (2.3), corresponding to the black triangles in Figure 3. On the other hand, for $v \ll 1$,




**Figure 3**. Higgs potential: $n_s$ vs. $r$ (left panel) and $n_s$ vs. $\alpha$ (right panel) for various $v$ values, along with the $n_s$ vs. $r$ contours (at the confidence levels of 68% and 95%) given by the BICEP2 collaboration (Planck+WP+highL+BICEP2). The dashed portions are for $\phi > v$. The black points and triangles are predictions in the textbook quartic and quadratic potential models, respectively. $N$ is taken as 50 (left curves) and 60 (right curves).

the potential is of the new inflation or hilltop type:

$$V \simeq \lambda v^4 \left[1 - 2\left(\frac{\phi}{v}\right)^2\right], \tag{3.3}$$

which implies a strongly red tilted spectrum with suppressed $r$.

In the other case with $\phi_0 > v$, the inflationary predictions for various values of $v$ are shown as dashed lines in Figure 3. For a small VEV ($v \ll 1$) and $\phi_0 \gg v$, the inflaton potential is well approximated as the quartic potential, and hence the predictions are well approximated by eq. (2.4), corresponding to the black points in Figure 3. On the other hand, for $v \gg 1$ the potential during the observable part of inflation is approximately the quadratic potential, so that the inflationary predictions approach the values given by eq. (2.3) as the inflaton VEV is increased.

## 4 Coleman-Weinberg potential

In this section we briefly review a class of models which appeared in the early eighties in the framework of non-supersymmetric GUTs and employed a GUT singlet scalar field $\phi$ [12, 22]. These (Shafi-Vilenkin) models are based on a Coleman-Weinberg potential [18] which can be expressed as [23]:

$$V(\phi) = A\phi^4 \left[\ln\left(\frac{\phi}{v}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\right] + \frac{Av^4}{4}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $v$ denotes the $\phi$ VEV at the minimum. Note that $V(\phi = v) = 0$, and the vacuum energy density at the origin is given by $V_0 = Av^4/4$. Inflationary predictions of this potential was recently analyzed in refs. [4, 10, 13].

The magnitude of $A$ and the inflationary parameters can be calculated using the standard slow-roll expressions given in section 1. For $V_0^{1/4} \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{16}$ GeV, observable inflation occurs close to the minimum where the potential is effectively $V = (1/2)m_\phi^2\chi^2$ as in section 3, $\chi = \phi - v$ denoting the deviation of the field from the minimum. The inflationary predictions are thus approximately given by eq. (2.3).




**Figure 4**. Coleman-Weinberg potential: $n_s$ vs. $r$ (left panel) and $n_s$ vs. $\alpha$ (right panel) for various $v$ values, along with the $n_s$ vs. $r$ contours (at the confidence levels of 68% and 95%) given by the BICEP2 collaboration (Planck+WP+highL+BICEP2). The dashed portions are for $\phi > v$. The black points and triangles are predictions in the textbook quartic and quadratic potential models, respectively. $N$ is taken as 50 (left curves) and 60 (right curves).




**Figure 5**. $n_s$ vs. $\log[V_0^{1/4}/\mathrm{GeV}]$ for the Coleman-Weinberg potential. The dashed portions are for $\phi > v$. Top to bottom: $N = 60, 50$.

For $V_0^{1/4} \lesssim 10^{16}$ GeV, assuming inflation takes place with inflaton values below $v$, the inflationary parameters are similar to those for new inflation models with $V = V_0[1-(\phi/\mu)^4]$: $n_s \simeq 1-(3/N)$, $\alpha \simeq -3/N^2$. We also consider the case where inflation takes place at inflaton values above $v$ (see also [4]), in which case for $V_0^{1/4} \lesssim 10^{16}$ GeV the inflationary parameters are similar to those for the quartic potential given by eq. (2.4).

We display the predictions for $n_s$, $r$ and $\alpha$ in Figure 4. The dependence of $n_s$ on $V_0$ is displayed in Figure 5. Note that in the context of non-supersymmetric GUTs, $V_0^{1/4}$ is related to the unification scale, and is typically a factor of 3–4 smaller than the superheavy gauge boson masses due to the loop factor in the Coleman-Weinberg potential. For a discussion of inflation in non-supersymmetric GUTs such as $SU(5)$ and $SO(10)$ with a unification scale of order $10^{16}$ GeV, see ref. [4].

## 5 Quartic potential with non-minimal gravitational coupling

Finally we consider a quartic inflaton potential with a non-minimal gravitational coupling [14–16]. One of the simplest scenarios of this kind is the so-called Higgs inflation, which has

received a fair amount of attention [24]. In Higgs inflation, the SM Higgs field plays the role of inflaton with a strong non-minimal gravitational interaction and a typical prediction is $(n_s, r) = (0.968, 0.003)$ for $N = 60$ e-folds.[3] In non-minimal $\phi^4$ inflation, the inflationary predictions vary from those in $\phi^4$ inflation (eq. (2.4)) to those in Higgs inflation, depending on the strength of the non-gravitational coupling [8, 14–16]. Non-minimal $\phi^4$ inflation can be embedded into well-motivated particle physics models [15, 27]. Radiative corrections to the potential have been considered in refs. [8, 14, 15].

The basic action of non-minimal $\phi^4$ inflation is given in the Jordan frame

$$S_J^{\rm tree} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-\left(\frac{1+\xi\phi^2}{2}\right)\mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4\right], \tag{5.1}$$

where $\phi$ is a gauge singlet scalar field, and $\lambda$ is the self-coupling. We rewrite the action in the Einstein frame as

$$S_E = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g_E}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_E + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_E\sigma_E)^2 - V_E(\sigma_E(\phi))\right], \tag{5.2}$$

where the canonically normalized scalar field has a relation to the original scalar field as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\phi}\right)^{-2} = \frac{\left(1+\xi\phi^2\right)^2}{1+(6\xi+1)\xi\phi^2}, \tag{5.3}$$

and the inflation potential in the Einstein frame is

$$V_E(\sigma_E(\phi)) = \frac{\frac{1}{4!}\lambda(t)\phi^4}{\left(1+\xi\,\phi^2\right)^2}. \tag{5.4}$$

The inflationary slow-roll parameters in terms of the original scalar field ($\phi$) are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{V_E'}{V_E\sigma'}\right)^2, \\
\eta(\phi) &= \frac{V_E''}{V_E(\sigma')^2} - \frac{V_E'\sigma''}{V_E(\sigma')^3}, \\
\zeta(\phi) &= \left(\frac{V_E'}{V_E\sigma'}\right)\left(\frac{V_E'''}{V_E(\sigma')^3} - 3\frac{V_E''\sigma''}{V_E(\sigma')^4} + 3\frac{V_E'(\sigma'')^2}{V_E(\sigma')^5} - \frac{V_E'\sigma'''}{V_E(\sigma')^4}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where a prime denotes a derivative with respect to $\phi$. Accordingly, the number of e-folds is given by

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\phi_{\rm e}}^{\phi_0}\frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{\epsilon(\phi)}}\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\phi}\right). \tag{5.6}$$

[3] The predictions of SM Higgs inflation depend sensitively on the Higgs and top quark masses, and a large $r$ value compatible with the BICEP2 results is also possible, see ref. [25] and references therein. For SM Higgs inflation with a non-minimal coupling of the kinetic term, see ref. [26].




**Figure 6**. $\phi^4$ potential with non-minimal gravitational coupling: $n_s$ vs. $r$ (left panel) and $n_s$ vs. $\alpha$ (right panel) for various $\xi$ values, along with the $n_s$ vs. $r$ contours (at the confidence levels of 68% and 95%) given by the BICEP2 collaboration (Planck+WP+highL+BICEP2). The black points and triangles are predictions in the textbook quartic and quadratic potential models, respectively. $N$ is taken as 50 (left curves) and 60 (right curves).

Once the non-minimal coupling $\xi$ and the number of e-folds $N$ are fixed, the inflationary predictions for $n_s$, $r$, and $\alpha$ are obtained. Approximate formulas for the predictions of non-minimal $\phi^4$ inflation are given by [15]:

$$n_s \simeq 1 - \frac{3(1+16\,\xi N/3)}{N\,(1+8\,\xi N)}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$r \simeq \frac{16}{N\,(1+8\,\xi N)}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\alpha \simeq -\frac{3\,\left(1+4\,(8\,\xi N)/3-5\,(8\,\xi N)^2-2\,(8\,\xi N)^3\right)}{N^2\,(1+8\,\xi N)^4}+\frac{r}{2}\left(\frac{16\,r}{3}-(1-n_s)\right). \tag{5.9}$$

The predictions in the textbook quartic potential model are modified in the presence of the non-minimal coupling $\xi$. For $\xi > 0$, these results exhibit a reduction in the value of $r$ and an increase in the value of $n_s$, as $\xi$ is increased. Here we have varied $\xi$ along each curve from 0 to $\xi \gg 1$. The predicted values of $n_s$, $r$ and $\alpha$ are shown in Figure 6 for the number of e-folds $N = 50$ (left curves in each panel) and $N = 60$ (right curves in each panel), along with the $n_s$ vs. $r$ contours after the BICEP2 results [1].

## 6 Conclusion

The remarkable discovery by the BICEP2 experiment of gravitational waves from primordial inflation should provide important clues regarding physics well beyond the SM. We have restricted our attention in this paper to models based on relatively simple non-supersymmetric inflationary potentials involving a SM (or even GUT) singlet scalar field. In the framework of slow-roll inflation, a tensor to scalar ratio $r \sim 0.1$–$0.2$ is readily obtained in these well motivated models, with somewhat smaller or larger values than this also possible as discussed in the text. For the Higgs and Coleman-Weinberg potentials, a precise measurement of $r$ should enable one to decide whether the inflaton field was larger or smaller than its present VEV during the last 60 or so e-folds. For the quadratic and quartic inflationary potentials we have emphasized, following earlier work, that the well-known predictions for $n_s$ and $r$ can

be significantly altered if the inflaton couplings to additional fields, necessarily required for reheating, are taken into account. A precise determination of $n_s$ and $r$ should enable one to distinguish these predictions from those obtained from pure monomial potentials. We also explored inflation driven by a quartic potential with an additional non-minimal coupling of the inflaton field to gravity. With plausible values for the new dimensionless parameter $\xi$ associated with this coupling, the predictions for $n_s$ and $r$ are in good agreement with the observations. The running of the spectral index in all these models is predicted to be fairly small, $|\alpha|$ being of order few$\times 10^{-4}$–$10^{-3}$. The tensor spectral index is given by the consistency relation $n_t = -r/8$, which can be measured if $r$ is close to 0.2 [28, 29]. In fact, in this case even the *running* of the tensor spectral index can be measured [29].

## Acknowledgements

Q.S. acknowledges support provided by the DOE grant No. DE-FG02-12ER41808.

## References

[1] **BICEP2** Collaboration, P. Ade *et al.*, "BICEP2 I: Detection Of B-mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales," arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO].

[2] **Planck** Collaboration, P. Ade *et al.*, "Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters," arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].

[3] **WMAP** Collaboration, G. Hinshaw *et al.*, "Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Parameter Results," *Astrophys.J.Suppl.* **208** (2013) 19, arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO].

[4] M. U. Rehman, Q. Shafi, and J. R. Wickman, "GUT Inflation and Proton Decay after WMAP5," *Phys.Rev.* **D78** (2008) 123516, arXiv:0810.3625 [hep-ph].

[5] A. D. Linde, "Chaotic Inflation," *Phys.Lett.* **B129** (1983) 177–181.

[6] V. N. Şenoğuz and Q. Shafi, "Chaotic inflation, radiative corrections and precision cosmology," *Phys.Lett.* **B668** (2008) 6–10, arXiv:0806.2798 [hep-ph].

[7] M. U. Rehman and Q. Shafi, "Higgs Inflation, Quantum Smearing and the Tensor to Scalar Ratio," *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 123525, arXiv:1003.5915 [astro-ph.CO].

[8] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin, "Encyclopaedia Inflationaris," arXiv:1303.3787 [astro-ph.CO].

[9] C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, and N. Sanchez, "MCMC analysis of WMAP3 and SDSS data points to broken symmetry inflaton potentials and provides a lower bound on the tensor to scalar ratio," *Phys.Rev.* **D77** (2008) 043509, arXiv:astro-ph/0703417 [astro-ph];
R. Kallosh and A. D. Linde, "Testing String Theory with CMB," *JCAP* **0704** (2007) 017, arXiv:0704.0647 [hep-th];
T. Kobayashi and O. Seto, "Polynomial inflation models after BICEP2," arXiv:1403.5055 [astro-ph.CO].

[10] T. L. Smith, M. Kamionkowski, and A. Cooray, "The inflationary gravitational-wave background and measurements of the scalar spectral index," *Phys.Rev.* **D78** (2008) 083525, arXiv:0802.1530 [astro-ph].

[11] N. Okada and Q. Shafi, "Observable Gravity Waves From $U(1)_{B-L}$ Higgs and Coleman-Weinberg Inflation," arXiv:1311.0921 [hep-ph];

[12] Q. Shafi and A. Vilenkin, "Inflation with SU(5)," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **52** (1984) 691–694.

[13] Q. Shafi and V. N. Senoguz, "Coleman-Weinberg potential in good agreement with wmap," *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 127301, arXiv:astro-ph/0603830 [astro-ph].

[14] N. Okada, M. U. Rehman, and Q. Shafi, "Tensor to Scalar Ratio in Non-Minimal $\phi^4$ Inflation," *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 043502, arXiv:1005.5161 [hep-ph].

[15] N. Okada, M. U. Rehman, and Q. Shafi, "Non-Minimal B-L Inflation with Observable Gravity Waves," *Phys.Lett.* **B701** (2011) 520–525, arXiv:1102.4747 [hep-ph].

[16] D. Salopek, J. Bond, and J. M. Bardeen, "Designing Density Fluctuation Spectra in Inflation," *Phys.Rev.* **D40** (1989) 1753;
B. Spokoiny, "Inflation and generation of perturbations in broken symmetric theory of gravity," *Phys.Lett.* **B147** (1984) 39–43;
T. Futamase and K.-i. Maeda, "Chaotic Inflationary Scenario in Models Having Nonminimal Coupling With Curvature," *Phys.Rev.* **D39** (1989) 399–404;
R. Fakir and W. Unruh, "Improvement on cosmological chaotic inflation through nonminimal coupling," *Phys.Rev.* **D41** (1990) 1783–1791;
D. I. Kaiser, "Primordial spectral indices from generalized Einstein theories," *Phys.Rev.* **D52** (1995) 4295–4306, arXiv:astro-ph/9408044 [astro-ph];
E. Komatsu and T. Futamase, "Complete constraints on a nonminimally coupled chaotic inflationary scenario from the cosmic microwave background," *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 064029, arXiv:astro-ph/9901127 [astro-ph];
K. Nozari and S. D. Sadatian, "Non-Minimal Inflation after WMAP3," *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A23** (2008) 2933–2945, arXiv:0710.0058 [astro-ph];
S. C. Park and S. Yamaguchi, "Inflation by non-minimal coupling," *JCAP* **0808** (2008) 009, arXiv:0801.1722 [hep-ph];
D. I. Kaiser and E. I. Sfakianakis, "Multifield Inflation after Planck: The Case for Nonminimal Couplings," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **112** (2014) 011302, arXiv:1304.0363 [astro-ph.CO].

[17] D. H. Lyth and A. R. Liddle, *The primordial density perturbation: Cosmology, inflation and the origin of structure*. Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr., 2009.

[18] S. R. Coleman and E. J. Weinberg, "Radiative Corrections as the Origin of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking," *Phys.Rev.* **D7** (1973) 1888–1910.

[19] K. Enqvist and M. Karciauskas, "Does Planck really rule out monomial inflation?," *JCAP* **1402** (2014) 034, arXiv:1312.5944 [astro-ph.CO].

[20] K. N. Abazajian, G. Aslanyan, R. Easther, and L. C. Price, "The Knotted Sky II: Does BICEP2 require a nontrivial primordial power spectrum?," arXiv:1403.5922 [astro-ph.CO].

[21] A. Vilenkin, "Topological inflation," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **72** (1994) 3137–3140, arXiv:hep-th/9402085 [hep-th];
A. D. Linde and D. A. Linde, "Topological defects as seeds for eternal inflation," *Phys.Rev.* **D50** (1994) 2456–2468, arXiv:hep-th/9402115 [hep-th].

[22] S.-Y. Pi, "Inflation Without Tears," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **52** (1984) 1725–1728;
Q. Shafi and A. Vilenkin, "Spontaneously Broken Global Symmetries and Cosmology," *Phys.Rev.* **D29** (1984) 1870;
G. Lazarides and Q. Shafi, "Extended Structures at Intermediate Scales in an Inflationary Cosmology," *Phys.Lett.* **B148** (1984) 35.

[23] A. Albrecht and R. H. Brandenberger, "On the Realization of New Inflation," *Phys.Rev.* **D31** (1985) 1225;
A. Albrecht, R. H. Brandenberger, and R. Matzner, "Numerical Analysis of Inflation," *Phys.Rev.* **D32** (1985) 1280;
A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*. Harwood Academic, 1990. hep-th/0503203.

[24] F. L. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, "The Standard Model Higgs boson as the inflaton," *Phys.Lett.* **B659** (2008) 703–706, arXiv:0710.3755 [hep-th];
A. De Simone, M. P. Hertzberg, and F. Wilczek, "Running Inflation in the Standard Model," *Phys.Lett.* **B678** (2009) 1–8, arXiv:0812.4946 [hep-ph];
A. Barvinsky, A. Y. Kamenshchik, and A. Starobinsky, "Inflation scenario via the Standard Model Higgs boson and LHC," *JCAP* **0811** (2008) 021, arXiv:0809.2104 [hep-ph];
F. L. Bezrukov, A. Magnin, and M. Shaposhnikov, "Standard Model Higgs boson mass from inflation," *Phys.Lett.* **B675** (2009) 88–92, arXiv:0812.4950 [hep-ph];
F. Bezrukov, D. Gorbunov, and M. Shaposhnikov, "On initial conditions for the Hot Big Bang," *JCAP* **0906** (2009) 029, arXiv:0812.3622 [hep-ph];
F. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, "Standard Model Higgs boson mass from inflation: Two loop analysis," *JHEP* **0907** (2009) 089, arXiv:0904.1537 [hep-ph];
A. Barvinsky, A. Y. Kamenshchik, C. Kiefer, A. Starobinsky, and C. Steinwachs, "Asymptotic freedom in inflationary cosmology with a non-minimally coupled Higgs field," *JCAP* **0912** (2009) 003, arXiv:0904.1698 [hep-ph];
A. Barvinsky, A. Y. Kamenshchik, C. Kiefer, A. Starobinsky, and C. Steinwachs, "Higgs boson, renormalization group, and naturalness in cosmology," *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2219, arXiv:0910.1041 [hep-ph];
T. Clark, B. Liu, S. Love, and T. ter Veldhuis, "The Standard Model Higgs Boson-Inflaton and Dark Matter," *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 075019, arXiv:0906.5595 [hep-ph];
N. Okada, M. U. Rehman, and Q. Shafi, "Running Standard Model Inflation And Type I Seesaw," arXiv:0911.5073 [hep-ph];
K. Kamada, T. Kobayashi, T. Takahashi, M. Yamaguchi, and J. Yokoyama, "Generalized Higgs inflation," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 023504, arXiv:1203.4059 [hep-ph].

[25] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, K.-y. Oda, and S. C. Park, "Higgs inflation still alive," arXiv:1403.5043 [hep-ph];
F. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, "Higgs inflation at the critical point," arXiv:1403.6078 [hep-ph].

[26] C. Germani, Y. Watanabe, and N. Wintergerst, "Self-unitarization of New Higgs Inflation and compatibility with Planck and BICEP2 data," arXiv:1403.5766 [hep-ph].

[27] R. N. Lerner and J. McDonald, "Gauge singlet scalar as inflaton and thermal relic dark matter," *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 123507, arXiv:0909.0520 [hep-ph];
M. B. Einhorn and D. T. Jones, "Inflation with Non-minimal Gravitational Couplings in Supergravity," *JHEP* **1003** (2010) 026, arXiv:0912.2718 [hep-ph];
C. Pallis, "Non-Minimally Gravity-Coupled Inflationary Models," *Phys.Lett.* **B692** (2010) 287–296, arXiv:1002.4765 [astro-ph.CO];
S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, A. Marrani, and A. Van Proeyen, "Jordan Frame Supergravity and Inflation in NMSSM," *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 045003, arXiv:1004.0712 [hep-th];
S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, A. Marrani, and A. Van Proeyen, "Superconformal Symmetry, NMSSM, and Inflation," *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 025008, arXiv:1008.2942 [hep-th];
N. Okada and Q. Shafi, "WIMP Dark Matter Inflation with Observable Gravity Waves," *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 043533, arXiv:1007.1672 [hep-ph];
M. Arai, S. Kawai, and N. Okada, "Higgs inflation in minimal supersymmetric SU(5) GUT," *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 123515, arXiv:1107.4767 [hep-ph];
M. Arai, S. Kawai, and N. Okada, "Supersymmetric standard model inflation in the Planck era," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 063507, arXiv:1112.2391 [hep-ph];
M. Arai, S. Kawai, and N. Okada, "Higgs-lepton inflation in the supersymmetric minimal seesaw model," *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 065009, arXiv:1212.6828 [hep-ph];
C. Pallis and N. Toumbas, "Non-Minimal Higgs Inflation and non-Thermal Leptogenesis in A Supersymmetric Pati-Salam Model," *JCAP* **1112** (2011) 002, arXiv:1108.1771 [hep-ph];
C. Pallis and Q. Shafi, "Non-Minimal Chaotic Inflation, Peccei-Quinn Phase Transition and non-Thermal Leptogenesis," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 023523, arXiv:1204.0252 [hep-ph].

[28] Y.-S. Song and L. Knox, "The Detectability of departures from the inflationary consistency equation," *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 043518, arXiv:astro-ph/0305411 [astro-ph];
W. Zhao and D. Baskaran, "Detecting relic gravitational waves in the CMB: Optimal parameters and their constraints," *Phys.Rev.* **D79** (2009) 083003, arXiv:0902.1851 [astro-ph.CO];
S. Dodelson, "How much can we learn about the physics of inflation?," arXiv:1403.6310 [astro-ph.CO].

[29] J. Caligiuri and A. Kosowsky, "Inflationary Tensor Perturbations After BICEP," arXiv:1403.5324 [astro-ph.CO].